

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/019,832	NAGASU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Juliet C. Switzer	1634		

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Juliet C. Switzer.

(3) _____.

(2) Mark Sandbaken.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 May 2005

Time: morning

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

6

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner's amendment was suggested and approved by Mr. Sandbaken in order to place the application in condition for allowance. The amendment corrects the inadvertent use of the word "probe" and deletes reference to a primer optionally linked to vector nucleic acid in order to clarify the claims.